

Member Decision Record

Decision Title	
Rail Needs Assessment	for the Midlands and the North
Decision Details	
	on Donuty Londor of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Planning and
	an, Deputy Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Planning and d the report of the Head of Planning and Infrastructure and:
	ncil's response to the Call for Evidence on the Rail Needs Assessment for
the Midlands an	·
	response to be submitted to the National Infrastructure Commission
b) Agreed that the	response to be submitted to the National Illiastractare commission
It was also noted that th	e report had referred to a 'consultation response' in the
	e report presented to the Portfolio holder and at section 1.4 of the same
report. By way of this Decision Record it is noted that this should be a 'Call for Evidence' response.	
report. By way or this be	coston record te is noted that this should be a can for Evidence response.
Councillor Sean Sheahar	of the Labour Group also raised several questions in advance of the
	ten response was provided by Councillor Ashman before the decision was
_	an attended the meeting and was invited to comment but, in view of him
	observer status, he opted not to make any comments.
	and the state of t
In consultation with the	Chairman of the Council, it was agreed that these decisions were to be
	em for Portfolio Holder approval and to waive call-in requirements.
Reason for Decision	
Executive approval is ne	eded to agree the Council's response to the National Infrastructure
	idence on the Rail Needs Assessment for the Midlands and the North
Source of Delegation	
The leader delegated ex	ecutive decision powers to individual Cabinet members on 19 March 2020.
A record of the decision	by the Leader can be found <u>here.</u>
Type of Decision	
Type of Decision	
X Key Decision	Non-Key Decision
X Key Decision	
X Key Decision List of Background Paper	
X Key Decision	
X Key Decision List of Background Paper	
X Key Decision List of Background Pape There were none.	ers
X Key Decision List of Background Pape There were none. What alternative option	ns were considered when making the decision?
X Key Decision List of Background Paper There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extension	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal
X Key Decision List of Background Pape There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal ws on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing
X Key Decision List of Background Pape There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal ws on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not
X Key Decision List of Background Pape There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal ws on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing
X Key Decision List of Background Pape There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal ws on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not
Key Decision List of Background Pape There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in considered necessary to	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal was on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not seek apply for an extension.
List of Background Paper There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extension consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in considered necessary to the service of th	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal ws on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not
List of Background Paper There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in considered necessary to the decision?	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal was on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not seek apply for an extension.
List of Background Paper There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extension consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in considered necessary to the service of th	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal was on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not seek apply for an extension.
List of Background Paper There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in considered necessary to the decision?	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal was on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not seek apply for an extension.
List of Background Paper There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to it considered necessary to What conflicts of interest the decision? None	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal was on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not seek apply for an extension.
List of Background Paper There were none. What alternative option To apply for an extensio consultation seeking vie evidence in relation to in considered necessary to the decision?	ns were considered when making the decision? In of time to respond to the Call for Evidence. As this is not a formal was on HS2 but was more of a technical exercise in considering existing informing the Government's forthcoming Integrated Rail Plan it was not seek apply for an extension.

Officer consulted prior to making the decision	James Arnold – Strategic Director of Place Chris Elston – Head of Planning and Infrastructure
Date Decision Made	29 May 2020
Date Decision Published	29 May 2020